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FLORIDA BUILDING COMMISSION

SUPPLEMENT TO THE AUGUST 22, 2006 MINUTES

OVERVIEW OF COMMISSION’S KEY DECISIONS

TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2006

Agenda Review and Approval
The Commission voted unanimously, 17 - 0 in favor, to approve the agenda as presented. Following are the key agenda items approved for consideration:

- To Consider Regular Procedural Issues: Approval of the July 11, 2006 Minutes and Facilitator’s Summary Report.
- To Consider/Decide on Accessibility Waiver Applications.
- To Consider/Decide on Legal Issues and Petitions for Declaratory Statements.
- To Consider/Decide on Approvals and Revocations of Products and Product Approval Entities.
- To Consider/Decide on Chair's Discussion Issues/Recommendations.
- To Review and Update the Workplan.
- To Consider/Decide on Product Approval/Prototype Buildings/Manufactured Buildings and Education Program Oversight Committee (POC’s) Reports/Recommendations.
- To Consider/Decide on Hurricane Research Advisory Committee’s Report/Rec’s.
- To Consider/Decide on Product Approval Validation Workgroup’s Report/Rec’s
- To Conduct a Rule Adoption Hearing on Rule 9B-3.047, Florida Building Code
- To Conduct a Rule Development Workshop on Rule 9B-70, Building Code Training Program.
- To Discuss the Florida Board of Engineers Policy Development for Engineering Design Practice of Aluminum Enclosures.
- To Discuss Commissioner Issues.
- To Receive Public Comment.
- To Review Committee Assignments and Issues for the Next Meeting.—October 10, 2006 in Tampa.

Review and Approval of July 11, 2006 Meeting Minutes and Facilitator’s Summary Report
Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 17 - 0 in favor, to approve the July 11, 2006 Minutes and Facilitator’s Summary Report as presented.
CHAIR’S DISCUSSION ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Annual Effectiveness Assessment Survey
Chairman Rodriguez informed the Commission that each year the Commission conducts an effectiveness assessment survey. Jeff Blair passed out a three page survey (blue) which may be faxed or returned electronically. Commissioners also received the survey by e-mail. The deadline for completion is September 8, 2006 and the Commission will discuss the results at the October meeting.

B. Energy Code Workgroup
Chairman Rodriguez reported that the Energy Code Transition Study Workgroup will meet on November 14 and 15, 2006 in Tampa to consider proposed amendments to the Florida Energy Code. The Workgroup’s scope was expanded to review the IECC code provisions and recommending which, if any, provisions should be adopted into the Florida Building Code. After consultation with staff, the Chair indicated that he had decided to add one new member to the Workgroup, Steve Gooch, who is an insulation contractor.

Information on the project, including agenda packets, meeting reports, and related documents may be found in downloadable formats at the project webpage below:
http://consensus.fsu.edu/FBC/ectswg.html

C. Garage Door and Shutter Labeling Workgroup
At the July meeting, and based on the Window Workgroup’s recommendations, the Chair noted that the Commission created a Garage Door and Shutter Labeling Workgroup, charged with developing recommendations regarding the labeling of garage doors and shutters. As with the Window Labeling Workgroup, the focus for this Workgroup will be to provide building officials, in a field useable format, with the information they need to ensure that garage doors and shutters comply with the Florida Building Code.
Following are the appointments to the Workgroup:

The Workgroup will meet on September 14, 2006 in Tampa.
Information on the project, including agenda packets, meeting reports, and related documents may be found in downloadable formats at the project webpage below:
http://consensus.fsu.edu/FBC/gdslwg.html
D. Joint DCA/DOH/DEP Coordination Project

DOH (Department of Health); DEP (Department of Environmental Protection)

Chairman Rodriguez reported that staff met with representatives of the Department of Health (DOH), Division of Environmental Health, to discuss the overlap of their various program regulations with the Building Code. One of the specific issues discussed involved an emerging technology for recycling water within homes. However, the larger issue is the need to coordinate Building Code issues between DCA, DOH, and DEP. This is an opportunity to establish a collaborative working relationship with these agencies and could pave the way for future efforts. The Chair recommended that the Commission charge staff with discussing with DOH and DEP the possibility of establishing a joint project to determine what can be done to enhance coordination and consistency between the two Agencies’ program regulations and the Florida Building Code, such as Code amendments proposed during the 2007 Code Update and recommendations to the Legislature.

Commission Actions:

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 18 - 0 in favor, to charge staff with contacting DOH and DEP regarding establishing a joint project to enhance coordination and consistency between their various program regulations and the Florida Building Code.

E. Agenda Time for Commissioner Norkunas

Chairman Rodriguez noted that at the July meeting Commissioner Norkunas requested agenda time to discuss accessibility issues related to binding interpretations and accessibility waivers, and that he was providing Commissioner Norkunas an opportunity to address the Commission during Chair’s issues. Commissioner Norkunas decided that after speaking with staff he would bring issues up for discussion as they occur, and not address the Commission at this time.

Review and Update of Commission’s Workplan and Meeting Schedule

Commission Actions:

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 18 - 0 in favor, to approve the updated workplan and meeting schedule as presented, to reflect the Commission’s priorities.

(Included as Attachment 2—Commission’s Updated Workplan)

Consideration of Accessibility Waiver Applications

The Commission reviewed and decided on the Waiver applications submitted for their consideration.

Consideration of Applications for Product and Entity Approval

Commissioner Carson presented the committee’s recommendations for entities and Jeff Blair presented the committee’s recommendations for product approvals. The results of product and entity applications are found in the Product Approval POC report included as an attachment to the minutes.
Legal Issues

Petitions For Declaratory Statements
Following are the actions taken by the Commission on petitions for declaratory statements.

Second Hearings

DCA06-DEC-094 by James V. Miller, QMI Security Solutions
Motion—The Commission voted 20 – 0 in favor, to approve their previous action on the petition.

DCA06-DEC-130 by Tina M. Neace, Florida Air Designs, Inc.
Motion—The Commission voted 20 – 0 in favor, to approve their previous action on the petition.

DCA06-DEC-131 by Walter M. Hotchkiss, SEA Limited
Motion—The Commission voted 20 – 0 in favor, to approve their previous action on the petition.

First Hearings

DCA06-DEC-153 by Kenneth E. Thorndyke, CBO, Panama City Beach
No action by the Commission. Issue is being handled by the local appeal board.

DCA06-DEC-160 by Kirk Grundhl PE, WTCA
Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 20 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/POC’s recommendations on the petition as presented.

DCA06-DEC-162 by Clark M. Stranahan, C4 Architecture
Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 20 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/POC’s recommendations on the petition as presented.

DCA06-DEC-174 by Emil Veksenfeld PE
Staff noted a dismissal was being entered, since the Commission did not have authority on this issue.

DCA06-DEC-175 by Clark M. Stranahan, C4 Architecture
Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/POC’s recommendations on the petition as presented.

DCA06-DEC-176 by Jeff Alloway, U.S. Air Conditioning
Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/POC’s recommendations on the petition as presented.
DCA06-DEC-179 by Michael P. Morris, CEO, Roll-A-Cover International
**Motion**—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/POC’s recommendations on the petition as presented.

DCA06-DEC-180 by Gordon G. Lyle, R2 Self, Inc.
**Motion**—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/POC’s recommendations on the petition as presented.

**Motion**—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/POC’s recommendations on the petition as presented.

DCA06-DEC-182 by Michael Thompson, HPA Consulting Engineers Inc.
**Motion**—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/POC’s recommendations on the petition as presented.

**Committee Reports and Recommendations**

**Accessibility TAC**
Commissioner Gross presented the Committee’s report, which was accepted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor. *(See Commission Minutes for Committee report)*

**Commission Actions:**
**Motion**—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor to charge legal staff with researching how the TAC and Council might issue advisory opinions.

**Electrical TAC**
Commissioner McCombs presented the Committee’s report, which was accepted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor. *(See Commission Minutes for Committee report)*

**Energy TAC**
Commissioner Greiner presented the Committee’s report, which was accepted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor. *(See Commission Minutes for Committee report)*

**Fire TAC**
Commissioner D’Andrea presented the Committee’s report, which was accepted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor. *(See Commission Minutes for Committee report)*

**Mechanical TAC**
Commissioner Bassett presented the Committee’s report, which was accepted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor. *(See Commission Minutes for Committee report)*
Plumbing TAC
Commissioner Vann reported that the Plumbing TAC met with the Roofing TAC.

Roofing TAC
Commissioner Vann presented the Committee’s report, which was accepted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Structural TAC
Commissioner Kim presented the Committee’s report, which was accepted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Education POC
Commissioner Browdy presented the Committee’s report, which was accepted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Commission Actions—Education POC:
Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, to withdraw courses BCIS #90 #89 and #7 from the list of approved courses.
Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, to rescind approval of courses #123 and #124.
Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, to approve course #196, #194, and #193. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Product Approval/Prototype Building/Manufactured Buildings Programs Oversight Committee (POC)
Commissioner Carson presented the Committee’s report, which was accepted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Commission Actions:
Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, that regarding Self Affirmation. The Application will remain in “Approved” status, notification is sent to manufacturer that we have approved their self affirmation but the original approval has specific deficiencies which need to be corrected. In addition we need to advise the manufacturer that they need to revise the application to make the necessary corrections by the second validation date from the time the notification from the administrator was received otherwise staff would recommend to the Commission at the next meeting that the product be revoked.

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, that for revisions and normal applications, if there are deficiencies that are noticed in the application, the application will remain approved. We will advise the manufacturer that they need to revise the application to make necessary corrections. Otherwise staff will recommend at the next Commission meeting that the product be revoked.
Product Approval Validation Work Group Report
Chairman Rodriguez reported that the Product Approval Validation Workgroup met in Tampa on June 1, 2006. The revised scope of the Workgroup was to work with stakeholders to review and develop consensus recommendations regarding the validation requirements/details for each of the four compliance methods, the degree of technical review required for the compliance options, and review the validation requirements for the certification agency compliance method. The Workgroup has developed consensus recommendations on the validation provisions of The Product Approval System (Rule 9B-72 and relevant laws).

At the July meeting the Commission reviewed the Workgroup’s consensus recommendations, and today the Commission will be asked to accept the recommendations and refer the recommendations to the Product Approval POC to begin work on amending Rule 9B-72, the Product Approval Rule.

Jeff Blair reported that the Workgroup developed consensus recommendations for the Florida Building Commission regarding the validation provisions of the Product Approval System (Rule 9B-72 and/or relevant laws). Jeff Blair reviewed the recommendations (included in the July 2006 Commission Report: http://consensus.fsu.edu/FBC/index.html) and the Commission took the following action:

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, to accept the Product Approval Validation Workgroup’s recommendations regarding changes to the validation provisions of the Product Approval System, and refer the recommendations to the Product Approval POC to begin work on amending Rule 9B-72, the Product Approval Rule.

Information on the project, including agenda packets, meeting reports, and related documents may be found in downloadable formats at the project webpage below:
http://consensus.fsu.edu/FBC/pavwg.html

Building Code Amendment Process Review Work Group
The Commission adopted the Code Amendment Process Review Workgroup’s Phase I recommendations regarding the 2007 Code Update schedule at the May 2006 meeting. The Phase II focus of the Workgroup was to consider and deliver recommendations to the Commission regarding proposed enhancements to the annual interim amendment and triennial code update processes. The Workgroup met in Hollywood on July 12, and again on August 21, 2006, and has developed consensus recommendations regarding the Code amendment adoption processes (annual interim amendments, expedited amendments, and triennial code updates).

Jeff Blair reported that the Workgroup developed consensus recommendations for the Florida Building Commission regarding the annual interim amendment, expedited, and triennial code update processes. Following are the Workgroup’s consensus recommendations:

Eliminate the annual interim process, maintain only the triennial and expedited processes. The expedited process can be implemented whenever needed. The criteria for the process would be amended to include updates and changes to federal/state laws.
A triennial code update that coincides with the fire triennial update.

Justification for Florida-specific amendments to the base code should be strictly adhered to. Define specific needs of the State.

Issue quarterly notices of binding interpretations and declaratory statements. This would be in the form of a technical bulletin section of the Commission’s quarterly newsletter.

Require the TAC’s to review the code change proposals both times (two TAC reviews prior to Commission consideration during rule development) during the Code development phase of the update process then have the Commission conduct Chapter 120 rule development, with a rule development workshop and rule adoption hearing, in the adoption phase of the update process.

The TAC’s would review proposed code amendments, and after the 45 public comment period on the TAC’s recommendations, the TAC would review and make recommendations regarding comments, and then the TAC’s revised recommendations would be submitted to the Commission for their consideration in a rule development workshop.

Maintain updates to FBC within 2 years (not more than 2 years) of new editions of the foundation codes and provide for adoption of equivalent product evaluation standards via rule 9B-72. (Establish a policy that the would ensure the updated Florida Building Code would go into effect a minimum of one year before the next edition of the foundation codes on which it is based.)

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, to adopt the Code Amendment Process Review Workgroups’ package of recommendations regarding the annual interim amendment, expedited, and triennial code update processes.

Information on the project, including agenda packets, meeting reports, and related documents may be found in downloadable formats at the project webpage below:

http://consensus.fsu.edu/FBC/bcsa.html

Rule Adoption Hearing on Rule 9B-3.047, Florida Building Code
Chairman Rodriguez reported that at the February 2006 meeting, the Commission voted unanimously to consider only amendments related to hurricane provisions, glitch, and standards updates and correlation issues, during the 2006 annual interim amendment process—2006 Glitch Amendments. At the March 2006 meeting, the Commission voted unanimously to adopt the proposed Glitch Code Amendment review process. At the May 2006 meeting the Commission conducted a rule development workshop to consider and decide on the TAC’s recommendations regarding glitch code (2006 annual interim amendments) amendments. The Commission’s adopted amendments for the glitch cycle have been posted to the website for public review. At the June 2006 meeting, the Commission adopted a windborne debris region designation for the Florida Panhandle and rolled the Panhandle Windborne Debris Region designation into the Florida Building Code glitch cycle.
At the July 2006 meeting, the Commission conducted a second supplemental rule development workshop, where we considered public comments, approved some primarily editorial revisions to approved amendments, and voted unanimously to proceed with rule adoption for Rule 9B-3.047, the Florida Building Code Rule, integrating and noticing the approved changes.

The Chair explained, that as a result of a hearing being requested, the Commission was conducting a rule adoption hearing, in order to solicit additional public comment on the glitch cycle code amendments, and then proceed with rule adoption for the code amendments. The implementation date for the glitch amendments is December 1, 2006. At this point in the process, if the Commission makes any additional changes to the package of approved glitch code amendments, the code adoption schedule will be extended and the implementation date will have be revised to reflect the additional time requirements for noticing proposed change(s) and the subsequent Chapter 120 rule development timeline requirements that must be followed. The implementation date for glitch amendments—other than the Panhandle Windborne Debris Region definition which by law is 6 months after adoption—is December 1, 2006.

During the rule adoption hearing the Commission considered public comment on specific amendments, reviewed written comments on specific amendments, and then considered action on each of the individual amendments pulled off of the consent agenda. The Panhandle Windborne Debris Region designation was the only change considered by the Commission.

At the conclusion of considering public and written comments on the Commission’s adopted package of 2006 annual interim amendments, the Commission took the following action:

**Commission Actions:**

**Motion**—The Commission voted, 9* – 11 in favor, to adopt 120 mph as the windborne debris region designation for the Panhandle, instead of the Commission’s current approval of 130 mph for the region.

The motion failed, since the vote did not achieve the 75% in favor threshold required for an affirmative action (consensus requires a 75% or greater level of support).

**Motion**—The Commission voted unanimously, 18 – 0 in favor, to proceed with rule adoption for Rule 9B-3.047, the Florida Building Code Rule.

There were no changes made to the Commission’s approved package of glitch cycle code amendments.

* Commissioner Bassett requested that the roll call of the vote on the Panhandle WBD motion be included in this report. The following nine Commission members voted in favor of the motion: Steve Bassett, Herminio Gonzalez, Gary Griffin, Jon Hamrick, Do Kim, Bill Norkunas, Pete Tagliarini, Christ Sanidas, and Randy Vann.
Rule Development Workshop on Rule 9B-70, Building Code Training Program
Chairman Rodriguez explained that at the July meeting the Commission, at the recommendation of the Education POC, voted to initiate rulemaking for Rule 9B-70, the Building Code Training Program. The August 22, 2006 rule development workshop is the first step in the rule Development process. The Rule Development Workshop on Rule 9B-70, the Building Code Training Rule, implements enhancements to the Advance Course Accreditation System, including establishing, minimum criteria for the development and accreditation of instructor-led advance courses; establishing requirements for providers to update advance courses and submit for accreditation within 60 days after the code changes are approved by the Commission; establishing the deadline for completed advance course applications to be placed in the "Pending FBC Action" file on the Building Code Information System 23 days prior to a scheduled Commission meeting; and finally, prohibiting cross-accreditation of advance courses.

Commissioner Browdy read the Education POC’s recommendations into the record and an opportunity was presented for public comment. At the conclusion on public comment an opportunity was offered for Commission discussion, and the Commission took the following action:

Commission Actions:
Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 18 – 0 in favor, to proceed with rule adoption for Rule 9B-70, the Building Code Training Rule.

(Included as Attachment 3—Education POC’S Recommendations)

Florida Board of Engineering Policy Development for Engineering Design Practice of Aluminum Enclosures
Chairman Rodriguez noted that the Florida Board of Professional Engineers is considering how engineers should design and take responsibility for aluminum structures, and commissioner Bassett requested that the Commission discuss this issue in the context of developing criteria for master plan/prototype like approvals. The Chair noted there was a letter from Senator Fred Dudley representing industry interests, and David Charland representing the Board of Engineers was present to speak before the Commission.
Commission Member Comment/Issues
Chairman Rodriguez invited members of the Commission to address the Commission. Commissioner Hamrick noted that there is a DOE handbook available over the internet regarding the design, inspection, and enforcement of public educational facilities. DCA will provide a link on the Commission website.

Commission Member Agenda Items
Chairman Rodriguez invited Commission members to propose issues for the Commission’s next (October 2006) meeting. No agenda items were proposed.

General Public Comment
Chairman Rodriguez invited members of the public to address the Commission on any issues under the Commission’s purview. Four members of the public addressed the Commission.

Adjourn
The Commission voted unanimously, 19 – 0 in favor, to adjourn the meeting at approximately 1:00 PM.

Staff Assignments
• Provide a weblink to the DOE handbook.
• Research the possibility of BOAF providing technical assistance regarding accessibility issues. Also the role of the Accessibility TAC and Advisory Council in this regard.
ATTACHMENT 1
MEETING EVALUATION RESULTS
August 22, 2006—Miami Lakes, Florida

Average rank using a 0 to 10 scale, where 0 means totally disagree and 10 means totally agree.

1. Please assess the overall meeting.

9.6 The background information was very useful.
9.5 The agenda packet was very useful.
9.8 The objectives for the meeting were stated at the outset.
9.8 Overall, the objectives of the meeting were fully achieved.
9.1 Accessibility Waiver Applications.
9.6 Requests for Declaratory Statements.
9.4 Approval of Products and Product Approval Entities.
9.8 Chairs Issues and Recommendations.
9.8 Commission’s Workplan and Meeting Schedule Update.
9.8 TAC and POC Reports and Recommendations.
9.8 Product Approval Validation Workgroup’s Report and Recommendations.
9.7 Rule Development Workshop on Rule 9B-70, Building Code Training Program.
9.5 Discussion on Engineering Design Practice of Aluminum Enclosures.

2. Please tell us how well the Facilitator helped the participants engage in the meeting.

9.9 The members followed the direction of the Facilitator.
9.9 The Facilitator made sure the concerns of all members were heard.
9.8 The Facilitator helped us arrange our time well.
9.9 Participant input was documented accurately.

3. What is your level of satisfaction with the meeting?

9.6 Overall, I am very satisfied with the meeting.
9.9 I was very satisfied with the services provided by the Facilitator.
9.6 I am satisfied with the outcome of the meeting.

4. What progress did you make?

9.8 I know what the next steps following this meeting will be.
9.8 I know who is responsible for the next steps.
5. Member’s Written Evaluation Comments.

- I appreciate the Chair’s strong and unwavering leadership on the WBD issue. Thank you!
- Jeff Blair does a great job. Keep it up.
- Good meeting.
- The facility was great, except for the travel distance to the bathrooms.
- Need better time allocations for agenda items.
- For Accessibility Waiver Applications: Only two Council members attended.
- For Product Approval Applications: Product Approval Administrator was not present, and the file was not on the computers.
- For Waivers, Declaratory Statements, and Product Approvals: would like to get complete information to review before the meeting.
- A hotel without coffee? How is that possible? Coffee is a minimal courtesy for participants.
- Ed Carson is unwavering!! He has nice legs too!
ATTACHMENT 2

COMMISSION’S UPDATED WORKPAN AND MEETING SCHEDULE
(Adopted Unanimously August 22, 2006)

MEETING DATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2006</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 23, 24 &amp; 25</td>
<td>TACs</td>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>Embassy Suites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 6 &amp; 7</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>Rosen Plaza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 20, 21 &amp; 22</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>Embassy Suites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1, 2, 3 &amp; 4</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>Sheraton Safari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Destin</td>
<td>Sandestin Beach Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 10, 11 &amp; 12</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Ft Lauderdale</td>
<td>Seminole Hard Rock Hotel &amp; Casino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 21, 22 &amp; 23</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Miami</td>
<td>Don Shula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 9, 10 &amp; 11</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>Embassy Suites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 4, 5 &amp; 6</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>Embassy Suites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Based on experience developing the 2004 FBC, TAC meetings are scheduled separately from the Commission meeting for January 2006 and March 2007 to review proposed Code amendments for the Glitch Cycle and 2007 FBC Update respectively. Commission meetings are set for 2 weeks after those TAC meetings. This scheduling was established to avoid a week long Commission meetings and to avoid meetings in back to back weeks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2007</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 5, 6 &amp; 7</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>Embassy Suites, USF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 12, 13, 14 &amp; 15</td>
<td>TACs</td>
<td>St Augustine</td>
<td>Casa Monica Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 26, 27 &amp; 28</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>St Augustine</td>
<td>Casa Monica Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 7, 8 &amp; 9</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>Embassy Suites, USF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 25, 26 &amp; 27</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Miami Lakes</td>
<td>Don Shula Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 20, 21 &amp; 22</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>Embassy Suites, USF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 8, 9 &amp; 10</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>Embassy Suites, USF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 3, 4 &amp; 5</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>Doubletree Hotel, Universal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2008</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 28, 29 &amp; 30</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 17, 18 &amp; 19</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 5, 6 &amp; 7</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 23, 24 &amp; 25</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 25, 26 &amp; 27</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 13, 14 &amp; 15</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 8, 9 &amp; 10</td>
<td>Cmsn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FLORIDA BUILDING COMMISSION 2006 WORKPLAN
(A. – H. Ranked by Commission Survey; 1 - Other Tasks)

2005 Tasks Carried Forward:

A. Amend Product Approval Rule 9B-72, 2004
   Rule implemented
   Updated website implementing the revised rule replaces previous site

1. Hurricane Damage Investigations

   2005 Hurricanes
   Staff report to HRAC on damages of Hurricane Dennis
   Reports to HRAC by Miami-Dade, Broward and Palm Beach Counties
   on damages of Hurricane Wilma
   Identification of research needs

3. Recommendations for Report to 2007 Legislature
   Consider preliminary recommendations to Legislature
   Approve recommendations to Legislature
   Report transmitted to Legislature

6. 2004 FBC Glitch Amendments/2006 Annual Interim Amendments:
   Amendment submittal cutoff
   Post on website (45 days minimum)
   TAC’s consider proposals to develop recommendations

   Appoint Correlation Committee to Assist Staff
   Post TAC recommendations on website (45 days min)
   Commission considers in Rule Development Workshop (RDW)
   Commission meeting in the panhandle on Panhandle WBD region
   Supplemental RDW on Panhandle WBD region designation
   Rule adoption hearing (if requested) and filing with DOS authorized
   Effective date of glitch amendments (Rule requires min 3 mo after adoption)
   Effective date of Panhandle Wind Borne Debris region designation

   (Note: Law requires 6 month delay between adoption and implementation)
11. **Revise Rule 9B-3.004 to Allow Alternates for Committee Members**

   Rule development workshop 10/06
   Rule adoption hearing 12/06
   Rule effective 1/07

12. **2007 Update to the Florida Building Code**

   Design of Update Process Apr-May 06
   2006 International Codes published and available to the public 3/1/06
   Supplement version of 2006 FBC amendments available 9/106
   Replacement pages version of 2006 FBC amendments available 11/1/06
   Joint Fire TAC/Fire Code Advisory Council review of I Codes changes to
       FFPC conducted 10/06
   Correlation committee review of Florida specific amendments overlap
       With 2006 I Codes 10/06
   (Provide 1st set of changes to ICC consisting of non-overlap Florida
     Specific changes as identified by staff). 11/06
   Proposed amendments to the 2006 I Codes with Florida amendments due date
     1/1/07
   Florida Specific amendment overlaps with 2006 I Codes, local amendments
     1/1/07
   and 2008 FFPC correlation submitted amendments proposals by staff
   Proposed amendments posted to the Web by (45 day min before TAC review) 1/15/07
   Commission selects 2006 I Codes as foundation for 2007 FBC 10/11/06
   45 day comment period ends 2/28/07
   (Note: 2006 I Codes must be available to public for 6 months prior to selection)
   TACs review proposed Florida amendments, current Florida amendments
     and current Local amendments and make recommendations 3/12-15/07
   TAC recommendations posted to web (45 day min before Commission review) 4/13/07
   45 day comment period ends 5/27/07
   (Provide 2nd set of changes to ICC consisting of changes as approved by the
     TACs) 5/27/07
   Commission considers TAC recommendations on proposed amendments
     via a Rule Development Workshop 6/26&27/07
   (Provide 3rd set of changes to ICC. This set will consist of changes made to
     those provided in the 2nd set above. Level of changes will range
     from 5 to 10%). 7/27/07
   Rule Adoption Hearing 8/22/07
   File Rule adopting the 2007 FBC 9/14/07
   Printed Codes available to the public 1/1/08
   Code implemented (6 months from publishing to web) 10/1/08

12.5 **Glitch Amendments to the 2007 Florida Building Code**

   Printed 2007 Codes available to the public  (Note: Code implemented 10/1/08) 1/1/08
   Rule development workshop 6/25/08
   Rule adoption hearing 8/27/08
   File Rule and post Supplement to website 9/5/08
   Glitch Rule effective 9/27/08
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td><strong>Panhandle Hurricane Ivan Study</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workshop in Panhandle to review studies</td>
<td>9/13/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commission approved consensus recommendation to conduct Panhandle windborne</td>
<td>10/12/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>debris study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hire contractor to conduct Panhandle windborne debris study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I (study initiation data development for models)</td>
<td>10/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obtain budget amendment</td>
<td>11/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initiate Phase II (modify models and conduct simulations)</td>
<td>12/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commission receives preliminary report from researchers</td>
<td>2/07/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public hearing and decide recommendation to Legislature at</td>
<td>2/07/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commission meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting with Panhandle Building Officials</td>
<td>2/16/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation to the Legislature (In Annual Report)</td>
<td>2/24/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contractor preliminary report presentation on study at Commission meeting</td>
<td>3/22/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contractor presentation on study at Commission meeting</td>
<td>5/3/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initiate amendment of Code as directed by 2006 Legislature</td>
<td>See Task 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Special Commission meeting for Supplemental Rule Development</td>
<td>6/19/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workshop and contractor presentation at Destin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplemental Rule Development Workshop</td>
<td>7/11/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rule Adoption Hearing</td>
<td>8/22/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HRAC considers recommendation for Phase II</td>
<td>7/11/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commission approved proceeding with Phase II</td>
<td>7/11/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present Workplan to the HRAC</td>
<td>10/10/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conduct consensus process to establish economic analysis parameters</td>
<td>Oct-Dec 06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td><strong>Exposure Category C Study</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assign to Hurricane Research Advisory Committee</td>
<td>8/24/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Committee considers at meeting</td>
<td>12/07/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendations to Commission</td>
<td>12/07/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commission decides to have Structural TAC review</td>
<td>12/07/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structural TAC reports to Commission</td>
<td>2/07/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public hearing and Commission decides on recommendation to Legislature</td>
<td>2/07/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation to the Legislature (Addenda to Annual Report)</td>
<td>2/24/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006 Legislature removes Exposure C definition from law</td>
<td>7/1/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New definition considered at supplementary rule workshop for 2006 Amends</td>
<td>7/11/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proceed with adoption and implementation in 2006 Amendments</td>
<td>See Task 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td><strong>Standards for Hospice Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standards development by ACHA</td>
<td>Jul-Nov 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Code Amendments considered in glitch amendment process</td>
<td>See Task 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEW 2006 TASKS:

1. **Establish Legislative Liaison Process**
   - Chairman establishes process for 2006 Legislative session 2/07/06
   - Telephone calls throughout session 3/13/06
   - 4/03/06
   - 4/17/06

2. **Develop and Implement an Accelerated Revocation Process for Noncompliant Product and Entity Approvals**
   - POC take public comment and begin discussion 3/06
   - Revocations of Approvals begin 7/10/06

3. **Review and Address Code Administration Needs of Local Governments and Measures to Improve Uniform and Effective Enforcement of the Code**
   - Assessment survey 7/06
   - Report to Code Administration TAC 10/06
   - Code Administration TAC Review and Develop Recommendations 10/06-12/06
   - Recommendations to Commission 12/06

4. **Develop Product Validation Criteria for Methods of Demonstrating Compliance with Code**
   - Meeting 2/7/06
   - Meeting 5/3&4/06
   - Meeting 6/1/06
   - Recommendations to Commission 7/11/06
   - Recommendations reviewed by Commission 8/22/06

5. **Develop Window Labeling and Default Installation Criteria**
   - Appoint Work Group 2/22/06
   - Meeting 5/31/06
   - Meeting TBD
   - Recommendation to HRAC and Commission 7/11/06

6. **Evaluate Termite Protection Requirements**
   - Appoint Workgroup 3/06
   - Meeting 5/17/06
   - Recommendations to Commission 7/11/06
7. **Evaluate Code Update, Amendment, Interpretation and Coordination with FFPC and with Model Base Codes Editions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appoint Workgroup</td>
<td>3/22/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting on 2007 FBC Update</td>
<td>4/19/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation to Commission</td>
<td>5/3/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Interim Amendment Assessment</td>
<td>4/06-6/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report to WG (on assessment)</td>
<td>7/12/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG Meeting</td>
<td>7/12/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG Meeting</td>
<td>8/21/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation to Commission</td>
<td>8/22/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Hearing on the Recommendation</td>
<td>10/10/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finalize Recommendations for Report to Legislature</td>
<td>12/5/06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. **Assess Transition to IECC as Base for Florida Energy Code**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appoint Workgroup</td>
<td>2/22/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hire Consultant to develop code comparisons</td>
<td>3/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>6/22/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation to Commission</td>
<td>7/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>9/14/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>11/15/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendments proposed for 2007 FBC</td>
<td>1/1/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Code revisions thru adoption and implementation of 2007 FBC</td>
<td>See Task 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. **2006 Legislature’s Assignments**

   See Tasks 14 and 15 on Panhandle WBD issue above

10. **Coordinate the Elevator Code and 2007 Florida Building Code updates with the Department of Business and Professional Regulation and The Elevator Advisory Council**

    | Event Description                                                                 | Date       |
    |-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
    | Initial staff meeting to establish protocols                                    | 9/6/06     |
    | Council reviews Code amendment proposals and advises Commission                 | See Task 12|
11. Conduct a work group review of in-home waste water recycling in coordination with Department of Health and Department of Environmental Protection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appoint a Work Group</td>
<td>9/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>10/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>11/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>12/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code amendment proposals submitted by</td>
<td>1/1/07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Address implementation of the electrical systems requirements of the Energy Efficiency Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forum for discussion of requirements and their implementation</td>
<td>7/10/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendations presented to the Commission</td>
<td>7/11/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and outreach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Board of Professional Engineers newsletter article published</td>
<td>9/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Engineering Society publication article</td>
<td>10/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Code enforcement and education issue by</td>
<td>9/27/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Code Education and Outreach Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add notice to the Building Commission’s Website</td>
<td>9/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Send notice to all parties registered on BCIS for electrical issues</td>
<td>9/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice Building Officials via BOAF</td>
<td>9/06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Garage Door and Shutter Labeling Work Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>9/13 &amp; 14/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report to Commission</td>
<td>12/4 &amp; 5/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code Amendment Recommendations submitted by</td>
<td>1/1/07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2005 Legislature Directed Tasks

**Florida Building Code Amendments:**

**Expedited Code Amendment**

**COMPLETED**

- Shall by November 1, 2005, adopt the ICC provisions for ventless attic spaces. [Section 33 SB 442]
- Shall by November 1, 2005, recognize all alarms complying with UL 2017 for the pool alarm option compliance with swimming pool safety requirements of chapter 515, F.S. [Section 32 SB 442]
- Shall consider how to address water intrusion and roof-covering-attachment weaknesses. [Section 34 SB 442]
- Shall **review** Modifications 569 and 570 adopted October 14, 2003 to IBC and repeal, modify or leave the same but 569 and 570 cannot go into effect until the review (leave the same) or rulemaking (modify or repeal) are completed. [Section 48 SB 442]
2004 FBC Glitch Amendments (2006 Annual Amendment to 2004 FBC) COMPLETED (Implemented in the 2005 expedited Code Amendment)

- Shall amend the 2004 FBC to allow use of the area under mezzanines to be included in the calculation of total floor area when determining the maximum allowable mezzanine area in sprinklered S2 occupancies of Type III construction. Retroactive to the adoption of the 2001 FBC. [Section 44 SB 442]
- Shall modify Table 1014.1 of 2004 FBC maximum occupancy loads for R occupancies. [Section 46 SB 442]
- Shall amend section 1014.1.2 of 2004 FBC to exempt R1 and R2 occupancies from required distance between exits under certain conditions. [Section 46 SB 442]

2007 Florida Building Code Update

- Eliminate the “interior pressure design” option for buildings in the wind-borne debris regions consistent with the IBC and IRC.

Special Studies:

- Together with building officials from the area, review Hurricane Ivan damage and other data for the region from Franklin County to the Alabama border and issue a report of findings and recommendations to the Governor and 2006 Legislature. [Section 39 SB 442]
- Evaluate the definition of exposure category C and make recommendations to the Governor and 2006 Legislature. [Section 41 SB 442]
- Study the recommendation that the State be served by a single validation entity for state product approval. [Section 45 SB 442]

Other Tasks: COMPLETED

- Develop a form by rule that is posted on a construction site and identifies all private providers that will be conducting inspections and their contact information. [Section 11 SB 442/ 553.791(4)(c)]
- Develop a form by rule for use on the Building Code Information System for petitioning for review of local building official decisions. [Section 9 SB 442/ s.553.775(3)c2.]
- Add design and construction related facility licensing requirements for Hospice Facilities. [HB 189]

2006 Legislature Directed Tasks

Florida Building Code Amendments:

Redesignate the Wind Borne Debris Protection Region for the Florida Panhandle.
ATTACHMENT 3

RULE 9B-70, BCTP EDUCATION POC RECOMMENDATIONS

Accreditors will not accredit courses for other accreditors who have approved their courses (to prohibit cross-accreditation).

In order for a course to be accredited, a minimum of 50% of the material must be code related.

Minimum criteria for instructor-led advance courses:
Course Title/Number (Advanced should be in title)
Hours of Credit
Provider Information (no bio) (Name, Address, Phone, E-mail)
Course Description (appropriate for course). This course is designed to …
Course/Learning Objectives… By the end of this course, the participants will be able to…. 
Course Time (What are time allotments during course…to be used as a guide—not strict adherence)
Course Outline/Instructional Methods …Detailed description of course content in sequence of how taught and methods used to teach content…. Break course into content segments. Code edition developed under should be included. “Advance” must be in title.
Course references cited in the outline
Course Evaluations ….How will provider determine if content learned.

Providers shall have 60 days to update existing advance code courses that are affected by code changes to accommodate those changes from the date of their approval. Code updated courses shall be submitted for re-accreditation within that 60 day period, but may continue to be offered during the interim. The code version triggering the update must be noted.

All advance courses must be accredited completely and placed in the “Pending FBC Action” file on the BCIS 23 days prior to a Commission meeting, in order to be placed on the Commission’s agenda.